

## Comparative Studies on Zooplankton Production Using Organic Manure (Cow Dung) and Inorganic Fertilizer (N.P.K) in Ksusta Vicinity of Kebbi State

<sup>1</sup>Wade M. N., <sup>1</sup>Aliyu, A. <sup>1</sup>D. Y. Bawa and <sup>2</sup>Abdulrahman, A.

1. Department of Forestry and Fisheries,
2. Kebbi state University of Science and Technology, Aliero
3. Department of Agricultural Technology,
4. College of Agriculture and Technology, Bakura.

Corresponding author: [aasarki@gmail.com](mailto:aasarki@gmail.com)

D.O.I: 10.56201/ijaes.v10.no3.2024.pg122.131

### Abstract

*Comparative studies on zooplankton production using organic manure (cow dung) and synthetic fertilizer (N.P.K) was carried out in the department of forestry and Fisheries of Kebbi State University of Science and Technology Aliero, Kebbi State in September 2023 using standard methods and procedures. Three treatments consisting of organic manure, synthetic fertilizer and fertilizer-free water which served as a control treatment were placed on a Completely Randomized Experimental Design (CRED). Culture studies continued for a period of four weeks where sampling was carried out on a weekly bases. It was observed that zooplanktons with highest abundance in pond water cultured with cow dung were *Metacyclops minutus* ( $85.66^a \pm 24.56$ ), followed by *Culex restuans* ( $40.00^a \pm 4.35$ ) and the least in abundance was *Acris crepitans* with ( $2.33^b \pm 2.33$ ). Zooplanktons with highest abundance in ponds water cultured with synthetic fertilizer (N.P.K) was *Culex restuans* ( $35.33^b \pm 3.84$ ), followed by *Metacyclops minutus* ( $23.33^b \pm 7.42$ ) and the least in abundance was *Acris crepitans* ( $1.66^b \pm 1.66$ ). Zooplanktons with highest abundance in ponds water cultured with fertilizer-free water was *Culex restuans* ( $30.33^b \pm 2.78$ ), followed by *Metacyclops minutus* ( $17.33^b \pm 2.90$ ) and the least in abundance was *Platyias quadricornis* ( $3.33^b \pm 1.33$ ). The results of the study indicated *Metacyclops minutus*, *Culex restuans*, as the most dominant zooplanktons during the study period. The least abundance zooplanktons in both the three cultured were *Acris crepitans*, *Platyias quadricornis*. In conclusion, comparison of zooplanktons produced from ponds cultured with organic manure (cow dung) and synthetic fertilizer (N.P.K) indicated that zooplanktons produced from cow dung are significantly higher than those produced from synthetic fertilizer (N.P.K). Organic manure (cow dung) is therefore recommended for use in zooplanktons production for fish farming to enhance productivity.*

**Keywords:** Organic fertilizer, Synthetic fertilizer, Zooplankton, Cow-dung, N. P. K.

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Fish is an excellent source of high quality animal protein and essential fatty acids, (FAO–WHO, 2011). Live feed is essential for the growth of larval fish, they are readily ingested, digested more easily (Kinne, 1997), the first kind of live feed available to fishes generally are zooplanktons. Zooplankton are the animal components of the plankton, derive their name from the Greek word “zoon” meaning animal. Those commonly used in fish culture include rotifers, copepods, cladocerans, artemia e.t.c (Sorgeloosetal., 1980). Zooplankton are the initial prey item for almost all fish larvae, as they switch from their yolk sacs to external feeding. Evidence from the stomach content analysis of the fingerlings of *Clarias gariepinus* and *Clarias anguilaris*, showed that within the first few weeks of life, zooplankton was the predominant food item. The abundance of planktonic organisms in a water body influences the feeding regime of fish. In fish farm operations, lack of suitable food is believed to be the main source of mortality of early larval and fry stages of fish. Most zooplankton are secondary consumers, that is, they are herbivores that graze on phytoplankton (unicellular or colonial algae) suspended in the water column. The success of hatchery operations have been linked to the availability and supply of these natural feed, notably of zooplankton. Adeyemo *et al*, (1994), Zooplanktons are found in both freshwater and marine environments where many groups can adapt and develop in littoral zone, open or coastal water (Dumont, 1977). These planktonic organisms not only regulate aquatic productivity by their function as secondary producers but they can also indicate environmental status over a given time. This study is aimed at determining the productivity of zooplankton fauna in Kebbi State University of Science and Technology Aliero, Kebbi State Nigeria.

The readily available *Artemia nauplii* is difficult to afford by most local fish farmers due to its expense. Fish hatchlings must be fed four or five hours after hatching. This calls for researches on alternative and cheaper source of these natural feeds that will boost and enhance the rearing of fish larvae and fry.

The mortality of fish larvae and fry due to lack of live feed is a serious problem in fish farming. This is the main discouragement and problem to several fish seed producers and fish farmers. This research therefore is to bring solution and relief to fish farmers as a whole by unveiling a cheaper feed for fish larvae and fry such as zooplankton.

The main aim of this study is the Comparative studies on zooplankton Production using organic manure (cow dung) and synthetic fertilizer (N.P.K) in KSUSTA vicinity of Kebbi State.

The specific objectives are to:

- Produce zooplankton by using Organic manure.
- Produce zooplankton using synthetic fertilizer.
- Identify dominant zooplankton groups and species.

## **2.0 Materials and Method**

### **2.1 Study Area**

The experiment will be conducted at Kebbi State University of Science And Technology, Aliero (KSUSTA) Faculty of Agriculture, Aliero Local Government Area In Kebbi State Nigeria. It is bordered in the North-East by Gwandu Local Government Area, in South-West by Jega Local Government and in the North-West by Binin Kebbi Local Government and in the East by Tambuwal Local Government of Sokoto State. The University latitude  $12^{\circ}16'42''N$  and longitude  $4^{\circ}27'6''E$  of the equator. The annual temperature varies considerably but usually ranges between  $26^{\circ}C$  to  $38^{\circ}C$ . There are two distinct season in the regime the rainy season which starts usually around May and lasts till around September and dry season from October to April. The annual rainfall is frequently erratic and varied distributed from 500mm to 1300mm per annum (Smarr, 1998).

### **2.2 Source of organic manure and synthetic fertilizer**

The sample of organic manure (Cow Dung) was collected at forestry seedling unit, department of forestry and fisheries, Kebbi state university of science and technology Aliero and synthetic fertilizer (N.P.K) was purchased at Aliero Town.

### **2.3 Experimental design**

Nine (9) ponds each of dimension  $0.5m^2$  were filled with water. Treatment 1 representing Organic manure was replicated three times and tagged TRT 1 and RP 1, RP 2 and RP 3, where three (3kg) of cow dung was applied to each replicate. Treatment 2 representing Synthetic fertilizer was replicated three times and tagged TRT 2 and RP 1, RP 2 and RP 3, where two (2kg) of synthetic fertilizer was applied to each replicate. Then treatment 3 representing control treatment (consist of only ordinary water) was also replicated three times and tagged TRT 3 and RP 1, RP 2 and RP 3.

### **2.4 Zooplankton Harvesting and Identification**

Zooplanktons were harvested weekly by the horizontal trawl method, using micro-filament plankton net of  $50\mu m$  mesh size after collection in a 35ml plastic bottle. The samples collected were viewed under microscope. Identification of zooplanktons was carried out with the aid of a key prepared by Emi and Cartin, (2007).



Fig 1 zooplankton harvesting



Fig 2 zooplankton Identification

## 2.5 Statistical Analysis

The data collected from the different treatments and their replicates were analyzed using One way analysis of variance, SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences). Treatments mean differences were separated using Duncan's multiple range test

### 3.0: RESULTS

**Table 3.1:** shows comparative result on zooplanktons produced using organic manure (cow dung) and synthetic fertilizer (N.P.K).

Keys: T1 Organic manure (cow dung), T2 Synthetic fertilizer (N.P.K) and T3 Control (ordinary

SPECIES	T1 MEAN ± SE	T2 MEAN ± SE	T3 MEAN ± SE
<i>Pleuroxus laevis</i>	22.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 6.08	7.33 <sup>b±</sup> 1.85	6.33 <sup>b±</sup> 1.20
<i>Chydorus barroisi</i>	21.66 <sup>a</sup> ± 4.09	8.66 <sup>b±</sup> 2.90	5.33 <sup>b±</sup> 1.76
<i>Ceriodaphnia comuta</i>	27.00 <sup>a±</sup> 3.21	9.66 <sup>b±</sup> 4.17	4.00 <sup>b±</sup> 1.15
<i>Macrothrixtri serialis</i>	11.66 <sup>a</sup> ± 2.84	4.33 <sup>b±</sup> 0.88	5.66 <sup>ab</sup> ± 1.20
<i>Graptoleberistestanaria</i>	12.00 <sup>a±</sup> 3.05	4.33 <sup>b±</sup> 0.66	3.66 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.20
<i>Alonaguttata</i>	27.00 <sup>a</sup> ± 11.50	12.66 <sup>b±</sup> 2.66	11.33 <sup>b±</sup> 1.76
<i>Metacyclops minuta</i>	85.66 <sup>a</sup> ± 24.56	23.33 <sup>b±</sup> 7.42	17.33 <sup>b±</sup> 2.90
<i>Cyptocyclops bicolor</i>	23.33 <sup>a±</sup> 5.20	14.66 <sup>b±</sup> 2.66	12.00 <sup>b±</sup> 2.00
<i>Encyclops serruletus</i>	16.33 <sup>a±</sup> 2.96	11.33 <sup>ab±</sup> 3.33	7.00 <sup>b±</sup> 0.57
<i>Eudiaptonu sgracilis</i>	14.00 <sup>a±</sup> 2.08	5.33 <sup>b±</sup> 1.76	5.00 <sup>b±</sup> 1.15
<i>Dipleuchlamis propalata</i>	10.00 <sup>b±</sup> 3.51	15.33 <sup>a±</sup> 3.71	5.00 <sup>b±</sup> 1.45
<i>Macrochaetus collinsi</i>	9.33 <sup>a±</sup> 2.40	6.66 <sup>b±</sup> 1.76	6.66 <sup>b±</sup> 1.76
<i>Branchionus calyciflorus</i>	12.66 <sup>a±</sup> 5.20	5.33 <sup>b±</sup> 0.66	4.66 <sup>b±</sup> 0.66
<i>Brachionus quadridentatus</i>	18.00 <sup>a±</sup> 8.32	7.00 <sup>b±</sup> 3.60	5.33 <sup>a±</sup> 1.33
<i>Platyias quadricornis</i>	6.66 <sup>b±</sup> 1.33	7.66 <sup>a±</sup> 3.17	3.33 <sup>b±</sup> 1.33
<i>Lepadella petalla</i>	17.66 <sup>b±</sup> 3.17	18.33 <sup>a±</sup> 4.17	10.00 <sup>b±</sup> 1.15
<i>Culex restuans</i>	40.00 <sup>a±</sup> 4.35	35.33 <sup>b±</sup> 3.84	30.33 <sup>b±</sup> 2.78
<i>Acris crepitans</i>	2.33 <sup>b±</sup> 2.33	1.66 <sup>b±</sup> 1.66	3.66 <sup>a±</sup> 3.66

water).

**3.2:** shows appearance of zooplankton specimens based on taxonomic orders such as Cladocera, copepod, Rotifers, Dipteria, and Anura.





Fig. 1 Cladocera

Fig. 2 Copepoda

Fig. 3 Rotifera



Fig. 4 Diptera

Fig. 5 Anura

### Discussion Conclusion

The result of the study revealed that the two culture media favored the production of different zooplankton species. Copecods, Rotifers and Cladocera. This abundance could be attributed to the availability of elements such as potassium, nitrogen, phosphorus, carbon, etc. in the ponds cultured with cow dung and synthetic fertilizer (N.P.K). This findings concurred well with the findings of Jhingran,(1991) who reported that organic manure results in higher zooplankton densities in the ponds. Hence, it can be concluded that zooplankton population is improved with the application of the manure maintaining the water quality favorable for fish production. Zooplanktons production were found to be high in pond cultured with cow dung than synthetic fertilizer (N.P.K) This might be attributed to the facts that cow dung has more nutrients than (N.P.K) which has only

three types. It was noticed that zooplankton drastically reduced during the fourth week of the research. This might be as results of depletion of dissolved oxygen which was more abundant during the first and second week, probably related to setting in of decomposition of cow dung remnants, a process that exhausts oxygen. This agreed with the findings of Catton et al., (2007) who reported dissolved oxygen problem after fertilization of pond with organic manure.

## CONCLUSION

This Study therefore revealed high production of zooplanktons for fish production with both organic manure (cow dung) and synthetic fertilizer (N.P.K). However, higher production was achieved with organic manure compared to synthetic fertilizer.

## RECOMMENDATION(S)

1. Fish farmers should be educated on the importance of producing zooplanktons for fish farming using organic and Inorganic fertilizer so as to minimize cost.
2. Researches should be conducted with different organic manures to compare the effectiveness of each for zooplanktons production for use in aquaculture.

## REFERENCES

- Adeyemo, A.A.J., Oladosu, G.A. and Ayinla, O.A. (2014) Growth and survival of African Catfish species, *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell) *Heterobranchus bidorsalis* (Geoffrey) and *Heteroclaris* reared on Moinadubiain comparison with other life feed sources. *Aquaculture* 119: 41-45.
- Batista D, Sioli H. (1972). *Limnologia ET ecologia regionalis systemae fluminis Amazonianas*. Vol. 3 (3/4). Keil: Kommissions Verlag Water G Muhlau.
- Battish, S.K. (1992). Freshwater Zooplankton of India (Chapter IV: Phylum Rotifera). *Oxford and IBH Publishing Company Private Limited, New Delhi, India: 233 pp*.
- Boersma, M. and J. Vijverberg. (1996). Food effects on life history traits and seasonal dynamics of *Ceriodaphnia pulchella*. *Freshwater Biology*, 35(1), 25-34.
- Bonnet, D. and Frid, C. L. J. (2004). Seven copepod species considered as indicators of water-mass Influence and changes: results from a North umber land coastal station. *Journal of Marine Science*, 61: 485–491.
- Botolvskoy D. (1999) South Atlantic Zooplankton. *Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, 1705pp*
- Bougis P. (1974). Ecology of Marine Plankton II, The zooplankton masses, Paris.
- Boyer A. S and Hampton T. S. (2001) Abundance and distribution of large Zooplankton in surface waters of the northern California Current. *Deep-Sea Research* 52: 51-72
- Catton, K. B., Webster, D. R., Brown, J. & Yen, J. (2007). Quantitative analysis of tethered and free-swimming copepod flow fields. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 210, 299–310
- Chakrabarty R.D, Ray P, and Singh S.B, (1959). A quantitative study of the plankton and the physico-chemical conditions of the river Yamuna (Delhi), India. *Hydrobiology*, 491:101-109.
- Clarke A. and Peck L.S. (1991) the physiology of polar marine zooplankton. *Polar Research* 10(2): 355-369.

- Cohen, A. (2003). Paleolimnology: The History and Evolution of Lake Systems. Oxford: *Oxford University Press*.
- Cordy, Gail E. (March 2001). "A Primer on Water Quality". Reston, VA: U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). FS-027-01.
- Culture and screening of food organisms as potential larval food for fish. *Tigbauan research station, SEAFDEC, aquaculture department Tigbaun, Iloilo, Philippines. Pp. 1-12*, Wagner, R. (2007). [http://www.dr\\_wagner.De/waaserfloehe-english.html](http://www.dr_wagner.De/waaserfloehe-english.html) Wang, S., Xie, P. and Geng, H. (2010).
- Dang, N.T, Thai, T.B, and Pham, V.M, (1980). Identification of Freshwater Invertebrates in Northern Vietnam, *Natural Science Publishers, Hanoi, Vietnam: 573 pp*.
- Dave, G.1989. Experiences with waste water cultured Daphnia in the start feeding of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). *Aquaculture 79: 337-343*.
- David (1980) Zooplankton. Ecology of the Continental Shelf of Northeast United States. *WSS Publishers, 23pp*
- Eiane, K. and Tande, K. S. (2009). Meso and macrozooplankton. *In Ecosystem Barents Sea, pp. 209–234*. Ed. E. Sakshaug, G. Johnsen, and K. Kovacs. Tapir Academic Press, Trondheim, Norway.
- Eiane, K. and Tande, K. S. (2009). Meso and macrozooplankton. *In Ecosystem Barents Sea, pp. 209–234*. Ed. E. Sakshaug, G. Johnsen, and K. Kovacs. Tapir Academic Press, Trondheim, Norway.
- Ekelemu, J. K. and Nwabueze, A. A. (2011). Comparative studies on zooplankton production using different types of organic manure. *International Journal of Science and Nature .2(1):140-43*.
- Ekelemu, J.K.. (2010) A survey of the zooplankton community of On a Lake, Southern Nigeria. *International Journal of Agricultural and Rural Research. IIARD.1(2):185- 190*.
- Emil, C. and Cartin, O., (2007) Planktic /Planktonic, Necktic / Nektonic, Benthic / Benthonic *Journal of Paleontology.65(2): 329*
- Farashi, A., Kaboli, M., Reza Rezaei, H., Reza Naghavi, M. and Rahimian, H., (2014). Plankton composition and environmental parameters in the habitat of the Iranian cave barb (*Iranocypris tephlops*) in Iran. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation, 37.1: 13 21*.
- Farashi, A., Kaboli, M., Reza Rezaei, H., Reza Naghavi, M. and Rahimian, H., (2014). Plankton composition and environmental parameters in the habitat of the Iranian cave barb (*Iranocypris tephlops*) in Iran. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation, 37.1: 13 21*.
- Gibbons M.J. (1994) Diel vertical migration and feeding of *Sagitta fridericia* and *Sagitta tasmanica* in the southern Benguela upwelling region, with a comment on the structure of the guild of primary carnivores. *Marine Ecology of Progress Series 111: 225-240*
- Gibbons M.J. (1994) Diel vertical migration and feeding of *Sagitta fridericia* and *Sagitta tasmanica* in the southern Benguela upwelling region, with a comment on the structure of the guild of primary carnivores. *Marine Ecology of Progress Series 111: 225-240*
- Gibbons M.J. (1998). An Introduction to the Zooplankton of the Benguela Current Region. *Ministry of Fisheries and Marine Resources pp20-75*, Windhoek, Namibia 87 Julies and Kaholongo Dissolved Oxygen and Distribution of Zooplankton



- Gibbons M.J. (1998). An Introduction to the Zooplankton of the Benguela Current Region. *Ministry of Fisheries and Marine Resources pp20-75*, Windhoek, Namibia 87 Julies and Kaholongongo Dissolved Oxygen and Distribution of Zooplankton
- Gibbons M.J. and Hutchings L. (1996) Zooplankton diversity and community structure around southern Africa with special attention to the Benguela upwelling system. *South African Journal of Science*. 92: 63-76
- Gibbons M.J. and Hutchings L. (1996) Zooplankton diversity and community structure around southern Africa with special attention to the Benguela upwelling system. *South African Journal of Science*. 92: 63-76
- Gibbons M.J. Gugushe N. Boyd A.J. Shannon L.J. and Mitchell-Innes B.J. (1999) Changes in the composition of the non-copepod zooplankton assemblage in St Helena Bay (southern Benguela ecosystem) during a six day drogue study. *Marine Ecology of Progress Series* 180: 111-120
- Gibbons M.J. Gugushe N. Boyd A.J. Shannon L.J. and Mitchell-Innes B.J. (1999) Changes in the composition of the non-copepod zooplankton assemblage in St Helena Bay (southern Benguela ecosystem) during a six day drogue study. *Marine Ecology of Progress Series* 180: 111-120
- Gibbons M.J., Stuart V. and Verheye H.M. (1992) Tro
- Hays, G., A. Richardson and C. Robinson, (2005). Climate change and marine plankton. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 20(6): 337-344.
- Huggett J., Verheye H., Escribano R. and Fairweather T. (2009) Copepod biomass, size composition and production in the southern Benguela: Spatio-temporal patterns of variation and comparison with other eastern boundary upwelling systems. *Progress in Oceanography* 83: 197-207
- Hutching F. V. (1988) Phylogeny of Medusozoa and the evolution of cnidarian life cycles. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 15:418-432
- Ikomi, R.B., Arimoro, F.O. and Odihirin, O.K. (2005) Composition, Distribution and Abundance of Macroinvertebrates of the Upper Reaches of River Ethiope, Delta State, Nigeria. *The Zoologist*, 3, 68-81.
- Irigoiien X., Verheye H.M., Harris R.P. and Harbour D. (2005) Effect of food composition on egg production and hatching success rate of two copepod species *Calanoides carinatus* and *Rhincalanus nasutus*) in the Benguela upwelling system. *Journal of Plankton Research* 27(8): 735-742
- Jayabhaye, U.M and V.R.Madlapure (2006). Studies on zooplankton diversity in Parola dam, Hingoli, Maharashtra, India. *Journal of Aquatic Biology*, 21(2), 67-71.
- Jude, B.A., Kirn, T.J. and Taylor, R.K. (2005) A colonisation factor links *Vibrio cholerae* environmental survival and human infection. *Nature* 438 (69): 863 – 866.
- Julies E.M., Fuchs B.M., Arnostic C. and Brchert V. (2010) Organic carbon degradation in anoxic organic rich shelf sediments: Biogeochemical rates and microbial abundance. *Geomicrobiology Journal* 27(4):303-314
- Kibria, G.D., Nugegoda, F., lam, P. and Bradly, A. (2011) Zooplankton: It's biochemistry and significance aquaculture. NAGA ICLARM Quaterly. April–June 1997. 8 – 14

Orji, R.C.A. and Agunwa, A.C. (2005) Growth response, feed utilization and survival of *Heterobranchus longifilis* (Valenciennes, 1840) fingerlings reared in concrete tanks fertilized with poultry droppings and cow dung. In: Fish for food and income generation in Nigeria. Proceedings of 20th Annual Conference. of Fisheries Society of Nigeria. Nov. 14 -18th, 2005. Port-Harcourt, Nigeria.

Zooplankton ecology <https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zooplankton>. The global plankton database; copepod- a global coverage database of zooplankton biomass and abundance data. Guide to marine zooplankton of south eastern Australia: Tasmanian aquaculture and fisheries institute. Plankton chronicles: short documentary films and photos

Zooplanktons distribution patterns and their biomass as related to trophic indicators of 29 shallow subtropical lakes, *limnological*, 37 (3): 242-249. Watanbe, T., Kilajima, T.C and Fuljita, S. (1983).